STOP THE RAIDS
LA SUPER BOWL 2022

Media Information Kit
Stop Super Bowl Raids in Los Angeles!

Every year, federal and local law enforcement conduct harmful, dangerous raids on sex workers in advance of the Super Bowl, under the guise of fighting sex trafficking.

But year after year, these Super Bowl raids have failed to identify few, if any, actual trafficking victims. Instead, they disrupt and destroy the lives of sex workers, who are subsequently forced through the criminal justice system for a publicity stunt. A new report from the USC Gould School of Law’s International Human Rights Clinic strongly advocates against raids, calling them “ineffective” against trafficking and “traumatizing” to sex workers, particularly women of color.

This year, Superbowl LVI will be held in Los Angeles. We are asking the LA Sheriff’s Department to stop this brutal, unwarranted practice. We are asking the media to refuse to be complicit in this annual ritual, and speak to sex workers who are being rounded up, harassed and criminalized in order to provide positive headlines for the police.

Signed,
The Stop the Raids Committee

The Sidewalk Project
Erotic Service Providers Legal, Education and Research Project
USProstitutes collective
Strippers United
Sex Worker Outreach Project (SWOP) Sacramento
Free Speech Coalition (FSC)
The Super Bowl Sex Raids are a Scam (And we're not the only ones saying so.)

“Whether the game is in Dallas, Indianapolis or New Orleans, the pattern is the same: Each Super Bowl host state forms a trafficking task force to “respond” to the issue; the task force issues a foreboding statement; the National Football League pledges to work with local law enforcement to address trafficking; and news conference after news conference is held. The actual number of traffickers investigated or prosecuted hovers around zero.” — The Global Alliance Against Trafficking In Women

"This is urban legend that is pure pulp fiction." — NFL spokesperson Brian McCarthy

“After reviewing the available academic research, we concluded that ‘Super Bowl sex trafficking’ as reported in the news media is not empirically supported.” — Anti-Trafficking Review

“No data actually support the notion that increased sex trafficking accompanies the Super Bowl” — Kate Mogulescu, founder the Trafficking Victims Advocacy Project at the Legal Aid Society, in New York Times.

“The Super Bowl is often referred to as the single largest human trafficking incident in the United States. This simply isn't true.” — Safe Horizon

“[The National Center for Missing and Exploited Children] said the Super Bowl likely doesn't attract more sex traffickers than any other large event. What's more, he also conceded there is no way to quantify the problem.” — Superbowl Prostitution Forecast Has No Proof (WFAA)
2021 Report from USC International Human Rights Clinic

In November, the USC Gould School of Law’s International Human Rights Clinic (IHRC) released a ground-breaking report detailing the ineffectiveness of police operations—raids, sweeps and stings—when it comes to sex trafficking, and the harm they do to sex workers.

The IHRC conducted a comprehensive review of the literature, conducted extensive review of public records related to raids, interviewed over 40 anti-trafficking professionals, as well as members of law enforcement, sex workers, and survivors of sex trafficking.

The report concluded the following:

- Such operations are not effective tools to prevent sex trafficking. Despite law enforcement’s over reliance on the use of such operations, they identify few or no victims, while largely targeting sex workers.

- Law enforcement over rely on the operations as a method to identify and empower victims when in reality, operations tend to traumatize victims and undermine their trust in law enforcement.

- The data provided by law enforcement is not accurate, and conflicts with reports of survivors, experts, and advocates.

- These operations fail to protect actual trafficking victims, or connect them to services.

The report recommended that law enforcement “drastically limit” the use of such operations, and redirect funding to evidence-based approaches that are more effective and do less harm.

“Anti-sex trafficking operations identify few victims or traffickers and instead result in the arrests of many victims and sex workers—a disproportionate number of whom are people of color, particularly Black women and minors. Due to racial bias, among other factors, law enforcement officials “are more likely to perceive a prostituted child of color as a criminal, as opposed to a victim of sexual assault or abuse.” Law enforcement
officers frequently forgo a victim-centered approach and instead traumatize and criminalize, arresting, threatening to arrest, interrogating, doubting, blaming, and misgendering suspected victims. The few victims identified during operations often face imprisonment on the basis of a material witness warrant or criminal charge.” — USC Gould International Human Rights Clinic

Raidsoffers frequently forgo a victim-centered approach and instead traumatize and criminalize, arresting, threatening to arrest, interrogating, doubting, blaming, and misgendering suspected victims. The few victims identified during operations often face imprisonment on the basis of a material witness warrant or criminal charge.” — USC Gould International Human Rights Clinic

Raid, Stingsoffers frequently forgo a victim-centered approach and instead traumatize and criminalize, arresting, threatening to arrest, interrogating, doubting, blaming, and misgendering suspected victims. The few victims identified during operations often face imprisonment on the basis of a material witness warrant or criminal charge.” — USC Gould International Human Rights Clinic

Raid, Stings and Sweeps are Race-Based Policing (USC Report)

“The criminalization of prostitution, and particularly the criminalization of the activities of sex workers themselves in Los Angeles, has an extraordinary disproportionate impact on black women. … There is an immense potential for discriminatory and selective enforcement of prostitution charges.” — Tracing Criminalization: Policing and Prosecution in Los Angeles 2017-19 (Court Watch LA)

A 2020 report by UCLA Law in conjunction with CourtWatchLA makes clear that the harm from sex-worker-targeted policing that takes place around the Super Bowl is directed at marginalized communities. Communities of color and low income communities are disproportionately targeted by police for prostitution related arrests, effectively criminalizing poverty and survival. The most vulnerable sex workers are those who work on the street, primarily sex workers of color including trans and immigrant women. According to the report, nearly 90% of soliciting prostitution charges in LA are women, while Black people are “disproportionately impacted and drastically overrepresented in prostitution related charges.”
DOS AND DON’TS OF REPORTING ON SEX WORK

We realize that this may not be your regular beat. Unfortunately, law enforcement and faith-based anti-sex work organizations have willfully conflated sex work with sex trafficking, and employed language that strips active sex workers of their agency and voice. We ask that you always speak with active sex workers and sex worker groups when reporting your story.

Some simple Dos and Don’ts to keep in mind while reporting this story.

DO

● Speak with current sex workers and sex worker advocates.
● Differentiate between sex trafficking (which involves force, fraud or coercion) and sex work, which does not.
● Ask if anyone involved in these raids has been charged with sex trafficking.
● Ask “anti-trafficking” groups if they believe any sex work can be consensual. Many believe ALL sex work to be sex trafficking.
● Demand to know what services are being offered to the sex workers jailed, criminalized and targeted in these raids.
● Review our guidelines for speaking accurate about sex work.

DON’T

● Write about us without including us. Active sex workers must be part of any story about us.
● Use a sex worker’s legal name or image without their consent. Sex work is heavily stigmatized, and “outing” a sex worker can result in loss of job, housing, banking, and aid, as well estrangement from their family and community.
● Trust that numbers being provided by police are accurate. In previous raids, law enforcement refers to the number of arrests made in “sex trafficking sweeps,” but fails to mention that actual charges are only for misdemeanor sex work violations.
● Assume that anti-trafficking groups are neutral. Many are faith-based, and their “services” involve faith-based approaches.
● Assume that survivors of sex trafficking speak for non-trafficked sex workers.
HOW TO SPEAK ABOUT SEX WORK

When you speak or write about sex work and sex trafficking, your words have enormous power. Law enforcement and anti-sex worker organizations use language that strips sex workers of agency and voice in this debate. So below is some of the language to use or avoid.

However, when speaking to someone about their involvement in the sex trade, it is best to ask them what term they use to describe their work, and then be respectful and use that term.

**Prostitute**

It’s OK to use terms like Prostitute. Prostitute and prostitution have historic meaning as well as being specific criminalized activities currently in state statutes - for example California 647(b) solicitation for prostitution, and 653.22 loitering with the intent to commit prostitution. It is good to use this term when you are speaking specifically about prostitution. People are arrested for prostitution, but not all forms of sex work.

**Sex Work or Sex Worker**

Sex work refers to any person whose work includes selling sex or sexual activity, or otherwise engages in erotic labor. This includes, but is not limited to, prostitution, exotic dancers / strippers, internet-based cam workers, internet-based adult content creators, adult film performers, pro-dommes / submissives, phone sex operators, escorts, sensuous massage providers, and others.

Sex work or sex worker should not be used for survivors of sex trafficking, as they did not consent to selling/trading sex because of choice or circumstance, unless they also worked in the sex industry as a prostitute or other sex worker.

**Sex Trafficking**

Sex trafficking is forced labor in the sex industry, or any participation in the sex industry that involves force, fraud, or coercion. Any commercial sex act with a person under the age of 18, whether consensual or not, is considered sex trafficking.

Sex trafficking is often and incorrectly conflated with sex work. Sex work is consensual. Trafficking is not.
Human Trafficking
Human trafficking refers to any labor that results from force, fraud, or coercion. The most common types of human trafficking include construction, domestic labor, and agriculture. Sex trafficking is a kind of human trafficking.

Victims and Survivors
These terms often can flatten or remove personhood from those who have been involved in forced labor. Journalists should instead refer to those people as “persons who’ve experienced forced labor”.

Anti-Trafficking
Anti-trafficking is an umbrella term to describe activities intended to stop human trafficking. However, many groups and individuals in the anti-trafficking sector, including law enforcement, equate all sex work with sex trafficking, and are viewed negatively by sex workers.

Sex Industry/Sex Trade
Sex Industry and Sex Trade are umbrella terms for the array of work and services provided by those in the sex industry, both legal and illegal. If you are speaking about both sex workers and survivors of sex trafficking, “people in the sex trade” is the broadest and best description.

Sex workers may have experienced sex trafficking at some point in their lives, either before or after working in the sex trade. Because of this, sex workers can be among the most invested in preventing and stopping trafficking.

Decriminalization or Decrim
Decriminalization or decrim refers to the repealing of laws that criminalize prostitution and other criminalized forms of sex work, while preserving laws against sex trafficking. Amnesty International, UNAIDS, the ACLU, Human Rights Watch, and the World Health Organization have all endorsed the decriminalization of sex work as a human rights issue.

For most sex workers, decriminalization is preferable to legalization.

Legalization
Legalization of sex work, which introduces government regulation and oversight into sex work, is largely opposed by sex workers. Legalization can sometimes be used paradoxically as a method of criminalization and surveillance, particularly for those who cannot comply with bureaucratic regulations, compulsory management structures, or
public registries. Until all sex workers have access to equal protection under the law, and are able to come forward and participate in regulation schemes that would apply to them, legalization is recriminalization of the most marginalized.

FOSTA/SESTA
FOSTA (Fight Online Sex Trafficking Act) and SESTA (Stop Enabling Sex Traffickers Act) are bills introduced in the House and Senate, and signed into law by President Trump in 2018.

FOSTA/SESTA devastated the sex industry, and destroyed many critical sex worker resources, from advertising sites to sex worker safety resources. The law made internet platforms (such as Facebook or Craigslist or Wordpress) legally liable for content posted on them by individual users if that content potentially involved sex trafficking.

Rather than differentiate sex work from sex trafficking, many platforms chose to ban any discussion of sex work and/or banned sex workers entirely. Many sex workers who had previously worked independently online, or used online services to find or screen potential clients, were pushed into more dangerous or exploitative work. FOSTA/SESTA may paradoxically have increased sex workers vulnerability to sex trafficking.

DO NOT USE

“Prostituted women”
“Prostituted” is a pejorative term that is used by those who do not believe in the ability of sex workers to consent. It assumes that women or others working in the sex trade have no agency, and are being controlled. It is commonly used in faith-based or anti-sex worker discussions as a way of removing our voice.

“John”
John is a sexist and derogatory slang term for our clients. We refer to clients as clients, and do not ever refer to them as “trafficker” or “exploiter” unless we are speaking primarily about force, fraud or coercion.

“Pimp”
Pimp is a heavily racialized term that is often used by law enforcement to remove agency from sex workers. Law enforcement routinely misuses it to describe anyone who is employed by a sex worker, including bodyguards or drivers, or anyone who receives proceeds from our work, including our children, parents, romantic partners, business partners, management, or landlords. (California Penal code 266h (b) defines a pimp as,
“any person who, knowing another person is a prostitute, lives or derives support or maintenance in whole or in part from the earnings or proceeds of the person’s prostitution.” This broad definition can be used, unfortunately, to criminalize many of our intimate, domestic and commercial relationships. The most accurate way to write about someone who has used force, fraud, or coercion to engage another person in sex work is to detail the specific criminal allegations against that person.

“Consensual Sex Work”
We avoid saying “consensual sex work” as it is redundant. Sex work is consensual sexual labor. Non-consensual sexual labor is sex trafficking.

“Commercial Sex Industry”
We avoid the phrase because it is both redundant (the sex industry already means there is money or compensation), and can be used to exclude criminalized sexual labor like prostitution, or exclude survival sex workers who may be trading sex for housing and food.

“Exploitation”
Exploitation is an over broad and often stigmatizing term. Instead, we ask that you use more specific terms such as coercion or extortion. Groups that are opposed to sex worker rights often use “exploitation” as a catch-all for all sex work, regardless of consent, ownership or working conditions. Similarly, areas and locations where sex work takes place should not be called ‘exploitation hubs’.

Additional Resources: https://esplerp.org/esplerp-2020-policy-agenda/
WHO TO TALK TO

The voices of sex workers impacted by these raids **MUST** be included in any story that talks about the raids. Here are some of the people working to Stop the SuperBowl Raids.

**Maxine Doogan**  
Founder, [ESPLER Project](mailto:info@esplerp.org)  
Contact: info@esplerp.org or (833) 433-2746 ext 2

The Erotic Service Providers Legal Education and Research Project is a diverse, community-based erotic service provider led group which seeks to empower the erotic community and advance sexual privacy rights through legal advocacy, education, and research.

**Soma Snakeoil**  
Executive Director and Co-Founder, [The Sidewalk Project](mailto:somasnakeoil@gmail.com)  
Contact: somasnakeoil@gmail.com

The Sidewalk Project is an LA-based arts organization that exists to create community and wellness for those who live outdoors, and is committed to empowering sex workers with resources, public health access and education.

**Rachel West & Alex Makulit**  
US PROStitutes Collective (US PROS)  
Contact:  
- Rachel [rachelwest@allwomencount.net](mailto:rachelwest@allwomencount.net)  
- Alex: [alex@allwomencount.net](mailto:alex@allwomencount.net) or (415)-942-2570

**Kristen DiAngelo**  
SWOP Sacramento  
Contact: [sacramentoswop@yahoo.com](mailto:sacramentoswop@yahoo.com) or 916-390-7107